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1 Introduction

Since the commissioning phase of ESRF storage ring, several front-end components have been modified due to the upgrade of machine performances (stored current increase, low gap 5 meters long straight chambers, in-vacuum undulators…).

A new high power front-end has been developed to withstand the power density generated by 5m long, 11mm gap undulators. The new design consists of the replacement of the x-ray absorber and the filtering system. An upstream pre-slit and a compact high heat load absorber have replaced the x-ray absorber.

A CVD diamond window has replaced the Beryllium window and graphite filters usually employed.

A dedicated test beam line to complete the tests of various components (front-end, storage ring, beam lines) and study the material resistance to the high synchrotron radiation heat load is being used.

2 Construction Phase

During the construction phase at the ESRF there were two types of ID’s front-end:
- those designed for wigglers (large total power, medium power density)
- those for undulators (medium total power, high power density).

In both cases the maximum current was 100mA and the minimum magnetic gap was 20mm.

As a result the designed specifications were **15kW** total power and **140kW mrad^-2** power density therefore we had only one type of x-ray absorber.

Other than the few cases of UHV beam lines, nearly all FE’s were equipped with Beryllium windows that needed carbon filters to limit stress and temperature rise in the beryllium.

3 Front-End configurations

In 1994 we had 15 front-ends and already many different configurations by mixing existing Beryllium windows and carbon filters.

In 1996 the machine current was increased from 100mA to 200mA in User Service Mode.

The front end horizontal aperture was reduced and the pyrographite filters were improved to sustain the corresponding increase of power.
Front-End ID’s (±2.5mrad horizontal aperture)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FE type</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>Dipole Front End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHV (windowless)</td>
<td>-4 to 10mm vertical aperture (at 23m)</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>-Grafoil filter/Beryllium window (±2.5mrad horizontal aperture)</td>
<td>UHV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Pyrocarbon filter/Polished Be window (130mm x 4 mm aperture)</td>
<td>6mrad hor. aperture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Power filters</td>
<td>-High Power filters&lt;br&gt;-Pyrographite Ø2mm /Be window&lt;br&gt;Ø6mm polished&lt;br&gt;- Higher coherency (Diamond filter/Polished Beryllium window )&lt;br&gt;- Polished Beryllium window</td>
<td>Wide beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10mrad hor. Aperture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Front-End configurations

4 Performance limitations

Since the commissioning phase, the upgrade insertion devices performances and the development of narrow gap chambers was such that the limiting part was front-end components.

In 1994 the minimum gap of an undulator was 20mm and the machine stored current 100mA. Today with 200mA stored current, 10mm gap chambers and in-vacuum undulators a maximum power density of 400 kW/mrad2 can be achieved.

4.1 X-ray absorber limitation

With peak power density as high as 2 kW/mm2 at normal incidence, the x-ray absorber needed to be replaced. The opening angle of the radiation produced by an undulator is very small in both horizontal and vertical directions. The total power produced by undulators can therefore be collimated through a pre-slit in order to minimize the horizontal aperture of the x-ray absorber.

4.2 Filters/window limitation

For many ESRF beam lines the photon flux limitation is a result of the front-end carbon filter and beryllium window configuration which cannot absorb all low-energy X-ray power produced by the undulators.

A first step consisting of reducing the useful aperture of the beam and the stress level for the considered heat-load photon beam and consequently limiting the total power absorbed on the filters solved in some cases the heat-load problem but it was not possible to have more than 2 undulators (3.2m total length) closed at 16mm gap. On top of that, most of the elements located on the x-ray path can lead to coherence degradation of the photon source due to the surface roughness, impurities or porosities of the beryllium and carbon foils.

Several front-end Beryllium windows were then polished one to reduce that coherence degradation.
Rapidly it appears that the best option was to remove carbon filters and Beryllium windows and replaced them by CVD diamond foils.

## 5 Front-end evolution

### 5.1 X-ray absorber

An upstream pre-slit (2 mm horizontal aperture at 14 meters from the ID centre) and a compact high heat load absorber then replaced the original X-ray absorber. Each of them can stop a maximum power of 12kW. The x-ray absorber is designed to stop more than 400kWmrad-2. The absorber is made using round pieces of Glidcop AL15 copper (SCM metal products Inc.) which have been machined into the required shape by the wire cutting process, to intercept the photon beam at an incidence angle of 1.2° in the vertical plane to the photon beam. The water cooling channels are made outside the vacuum. The advantages of this approach are that manufacturing is relatively simple; there is no water vacuum joint and only one layer of Glidcop between the coolant and the photon beam.

**Design criteria:**

**Temperature:**
\[
T_{\text{max}} < T_{\text{melt}} \quad (T_{\text{melt}}=1083^\circ \text{C for copper})
\]
\[
T_{\text{wmax}} < T_{\text{boil}} \quad (T_{\text{boil}}=150^\circ \text{C at 5 bar for water})
\]

**Thermal stress \(\sigma_{abs}\):**
\[
\sigma_{abs} < \sigma_y \quad \text{(elastic strength)}
\]
\[
\sigma_{abs} < \sigma_f \quad \text{(fatigue strength)}
\]

**Stress limit values:**

- OFHC copper: \(\sigma_y = 69 \text{ Mpa}\)
- Glidcop AL15: \(\sigma_y = 336 \text{ Mpa}\)
- \(\sigma_f = 262 \text{ Mpa}\)
- \(\sigma_f = 300 \text{ to } 659 \text{ Mpa}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorber design</th>
<th>SR crotch</th>
<th>FE BM</th>
<th>FE old ID</th>
<th>FE High Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>location</td>
<td>SR crotch</td>
<td>FE BM</td>
<td>FE old ID</td>
<td>FE High Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>material</td>
<td>Glidcop AI15</td>
<td>Glidcop AI15</td>
<td>Glidcop AI15</td>
<td>Glidcop AI15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P[\text{Wmm}^{-1}])</td>
<td>53 to 65</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{\text{tot}}[\text{W}])</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49 / 33</td>
<td>6470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{max}}[\text{degC}])</td>
<td>326 to 480</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>284 / 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{wmax}}[\text{degC}])</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>93 / 69</td>
<td>412 / 289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_{\text{vm}}[\text{Mpa}])</td>
<td>290 to 370</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>412 / 289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{max}}[\text{degC}])</td>
<td>577 to 697</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>416 / 289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{wmax}})</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>130 / 94</td>
<td>130 / 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_{\text{vm}}[\text{Mpa}])</td>
<td>351 / 616</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>618 / 420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: SR and FE x-ray absorbers data. Red values are calculated for 300mA current.
5.2 Filters
It was soon realized that surface roughness, impurities or porosities in the graphite were a source of small angle scattering (or decoherence) that prevented the beam lines from benefiting from the ultra low vertical emittance (30 nm in a routine operation, 9 nm at best).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filters</th>
<th>absorbed power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grafoil 130 x 4mm</td>
<td>few W/mm² max (first material used at 100mA current)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrocarbon 130 x 4mm</td>
<td>25W/mm² or 450W total power max (used for wiggler or single undulator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrographite useful Ø: 2mm max</td>
<td>45W/mm² max---used for 2 undulators 15mm gap at 200mA current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD Diamond</td>
<td>few W/mm² (used for better coherency) power limit due to mechanical stress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Diamond window
The Carbon filters and Be window configuration has been replaced by a CVD (chemical vapor deposition) diamond window. CVD diamond presents many advantages. It has a higher thermal conductivity, which limits the peak temperature in the window allowing the window to be leak tight even at full ID power. In addition, it dramatically reduces the small angle scattering and therefore preserves the high coherence of the photon beam. The window is composed of two parts, the diamond window and its water cooled copper chamber. The diamond part is made of a CVD foil 300µm thick that is sealed to two molybdenum rings by a diffusion bonding technique.

![CVD Diamond window max. Temperature](image)

**Fig 5:** FE CVD diamond window temperature vs. absorbed power

![diamond window assembly schematic view](image)

**Fig 6:** diamond window assembly schematic view
6 Installation Status
At the end of 2004 45 front-end are installed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Front-end ID</th>
<th>Front-end Bending Magnet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>3 Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard UHV</td>
<td>5 UHV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High power</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High power UHV</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: installed front-end configurations

During 10 years of continuous operation the reliability of the front-ends has been an important parameter. Systematic tests during shutdown periods and preventive maintenance have been applied after the commissioning time. Radiation damage is an important issue and regularly signals and power cables needs to be replaced.

![Beam time lost due to FE failures](image)

fig 7: Front-end failures statistics

7 Development

Glidcop Brazing:
Due to difficulties in brazing glidcop, tests have been initiated with external companies to find correct procedures and explore other techniques. Theses tests were performed for different materials junctions;
- Glidcop/ Glidcop,
- Glidcop/OFHC
- Glidcop/Stainless Steel
  (Brazing tests done with ACCEL and Thermo VG companies)

Welding & e-beam welding have also been developed to replace brazing conventional brazing technique.
These tests were successfully performed for different material junctions;
- Glidcop / Nickel & Glidcop 304L e-beam welding.
- OFHC copper/ Glidcop junction
- Stainless steel / Glidcop welding with filler wire (ER CU AL A1) Aluminum copper wire
  (Welding tests done with SDMS company)
Fig 8: Glidcop/Glidcop junction brazing

Fig 9: Stainless steel / Glidcop welding with filler wire (ER CU AL A1)

ID6 machine beam line is used for test purpose by different groups. Heat-load tests on windows filters x-ray absorbers crotches... High level thermal stress Material comparison (Glidcop and OFHC copper). 5 meters long Aluminium neg coated chambers bremsstrahlung measurement X-ray beam position monitor development (vibrating blade)

Fig 10: High level thermal stress Material comparison

Fig 11: Glidcop fatigue tests (piece from APS laboratory)
8 Conclusion

Since the construction phase and during the last ten years of operation, 45 front-ends have been installed.

In parallel, a refurbishment of all ID’s front-ends was initiated in 2000, to follow the machine performances upgrade and also to maintain a high level of reliability.

Further developments are necessary to anticipate better performances on the machine side and more requirements from beam line users.